

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL HUFTILE,

Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0171 GEB KJM P

vs.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS, et al.,

Defendants. ORDER

/

Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

////

////

////

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's June 14, 2007 motion
2 for the appointment of counsel is denied.

3 DATED: June 25, 2007.

4 
5 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 1/mp
7 huft0171.31

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26